

Zoning Options based on EDAC Concerns

Attachment 2

	Zoning Ordinance Project Component Issues	Zoning Ordinance Sections	Description of Policy Issue	Draft Ordinance	EDAC Recommendation	Options/Comments
1	Multiple commercial zones	Chapter 17.22	GP Provides for use of multiple commercial zones.	Commercial Zones similar to existing ordinance with deletion of CP zone and addition of Neighborhood Service zone	EDAC believes the limited number of commercial zones proposed in the ZO should be increased in compliance with this policy.	This is a fundamental change that will need to be decided upon by the PC/BOS or analyzed as an option in the EIR.
2	Commercial, Industrial and Ag Support Uses or Zones	Chapters 17.21, 17.22 & 17.23	Promote agriculture, resource and tourism industry in Rural Regions	Some additional uses permitted in the Agriculture and Resource zones by right, Administrative Permit, or CUP	EDAC supports inclusion of policy language in ZO that expands commercial and industrial uses in Rural Regions. In concert with GPA to allow Commercial and Industrial land uses within Rural Regions, draft ZO should be revised to allow Commercial, Industrial and Ag Support Uses within zone designations applicable to Rural Regions.	Expand potential uses permitted in the resource districts to provide greater opportunity for rural commerce without significant departure from fundamental concepts of General Plan and/or expand or create new Rural Centers.
3	Planned Development provisions	Chapter 17.28	30% open space requirement for PDs restricts development potential for urban infill and affordable housing.	Alternatives to on-site retention of 30% provided (modified common open space criteria, in-lieu fees, off-site acquisition or improvements, etc.) but maintains 30% requirement in general.	ZO should be revised to conform to GPAs for PDs recommended by EDAC. (Eliminate 30% open space requirement in favor of lesser amount of improved open space; eliminate requirement for PD where more than 50 lots or more than 2 DU/ac.)	Reduction or elimination of 30% requirement for specific types of development such as infill or affordable housing projects
4	Table 2-4 Amendment	General Plan	Once ZO revisions are finalized, revisions to Table 2-4 will be required.	Table 2-4 conforms to draft ordinance	Table 2-4 to be revised reflecting proposed new zones	Staff concurs if changes made to list of zones, Table to be changed.
5	Home occupations	17.40.170	Greater flexibility needed to create opportunities for home-based businesses, reduce commuting.	Home occupation provisions expanded to provide greater flexibility. CUP required for employees	EDAC recommends the ZO allow provide for expanded home occupations, including employees in home occupations to the extent feasible in compliance with CEQA. This action will improve the jobs/housing balance, already addressed in GP Policy 10.1.7.4	CEQA is not an issue. Need to consider use compatibility. Policy decision by County and extent of uses to be analyzed in EIR.

Zoning Options based on EDAC Concerns

6	Residences in TPZ	17.40.350, Table 17.21.020	Desire by large TPZ land owner to allow residences on TPZ by right.	Residence restriction retained from existing code based on Ag Commission recommendation	ZO should incorporate GP standards. Current ordinance and ZO update, as drafted, contains requirements more stringent than GP. EDAC recommends a consistency review process to develop on TPZ lands	GP does not address residences in TPZ, but does provide findings for discretionary development under Policy 8.4.2.I. Policy decision by County, but must be consistent with state law that only allows a residence if "necessary for the management of land zoned" TPZ (GC 51102(h)). One option is to establish standards for housing to support timber operations.
7	Mixed Use Development (MUD 2)	17.40.180	Ability to create mixed use development constrained because of PD requirement <u>under MUD 1.</u>	Mixed use provisions deferred until later for thorough review and analysis of potential options. Standards for "by right" MUD development complex and time-consuming to prepare.	ZO should be revised to designate multiple Commercial/Industrial uses. Include "master" or "safe harbor" plans for residential component of C/MUD2.	Unclear how "safe harbor" plans will reduce need for discretionary review of residential mixed use projects. It is possible to develop standards for rental units in commercial but any subdivision will require discretionary approval. Previous direction was that MUD 2 would follow adoption of the comprehensive Zoning Ordinance update, due to the need for significant community involvement
8	Animal Keeping	17.40.080	Provisions for keeping of animals on residential lots	Staff recommends deferral of Animal Keeping to separate ordinance.	EDAC agrees, provided that language as proposed (regarding slaughtering) is not included in ZO.	Slaughtering has been prohibited in R1 for many years through Director interpretation of existing code. No changes to existing procedures are recommended at this time.
9	Wetland/Riparian Setbacks	17.30.030.H	Implementation of GP policy regarding wetland and riparian setbacks	Criteria in draft ZO measure setbacks from "edge of riparian vegetation" rather than "top of bank" or "ordinary high water mark" as used in the Interim Guidelines adopted in 2006.	EDAC recommends revisions to ZO to conform to Interim Guidelines and Army Corps of Engineers wetland delineation standards.	Revisions to draft in process to incorporate Interim Guideline provisions for consistency.

Zoning Options based on EDAC Concerns

10	Zoning Map Update	Map	Conforming zoning map to GP land use designations	Map changes minimized while correcting inconsistencies, addressing deleted zones, and adding new zones per the rule set reviewed by PC & BOS	Significant issues remain, including appropriate designation of rolled-out AE (former Williamson Act Contract) lands and designation of multiple commercial zone districts. Also an issue with regard to eliminating conflicts between planned higher density housing and Ag zoned lands.	It is unclear what the issues are other than those that may be created if the PC/BOS decide to expand commercial/industrial zones. Staff to review draft maps to ensure all rolled-out AE in Community Regions are zoned appropriately.
11	Ranch Marketing on Grazing Lands	17.40.260	Update ranch marketing provisions to address livestock and non-consumable products	Provisions updated based on committee recommendations. Livestock and other products deferred.	Incorporate standards into Ranch Marketing provisions to allow Ranch Marketing for livestock operations, including visitor-serving uses and direct sales.	Include suggested changes for livestock. Defer other issues.
12	Ag Zoning "Opt In" within Ag Districts and Rural Regions	Map	Potential for active agricultural uses to lose protections with elimination of RA zones	RA zones changed to FR or RL based on elevation and location.	Recommend an "Opt In" process for lands within Ag Districts and RA Lands in Rural Regions to continue agricultural protection of underlying land uses.	Identify (by Ag Dept) active ag lands not recommended for Ag zoning; consider RE-10 zoned land in the agricultural districts; modify map accordingly.
13	Agriculture Homestays	17.40.050	Provisions for Ag homestays	Provisions included.	Permitted under 10.1.6.1 and 8.2.4.3 "lodging facilities". Can be addressed through Zoning Ordinance, but clarification through GPA might be helpful. [Jobs, Retail, Protection of RR]	No further action required with zoning ordinance update.