

Residential development is projected to add 1,681 homes to the district in the next five years. This figure comes from subdivision maps processed by Development Services and the assumed continued build out of these maps.

Senate Bill 50 (SB 50) was passed during the 1998 session of the California Legislature as a comprehensive restructuring of the State’s school facility construction and funding process. A spectrum of local ordinances, policies, and requirements were largely replaced with a statewide, three-tier system. In this new system, tiers or levels are:

Level 1	Similar to 1986 fee structure, now \$2.97 per square foot
Level 2	Up to 50% of the State allowed cost for construction and sites, if the school district meets specific eligibility tests (assumes State pays other 50% of cost). Fee would be \$1.78 per square foot.
Level 3	Same as Level 2, but includes State’s 50% share only when the State declares it is out of funds for new construction. Fee would be \$3.56 per square foot.

The following General Plan Policies apply to this Finding of Consistency:

Policy 5.1.1.2 The County shall review the Capital Improvement Plans of all public service and infrastructure entities to ensure coordination with the General Plan in order to maintain an adequate level of service.

Policy 5.1.2.2 Provision of public services to new discretionary development shall not result in a reduction of service below minimum established standards to current users, pursuant to Table 5-1.

The following Levels of Service shall apply to the review of discretionary projects.

TABLE 5-1 MINIMUM LEVELS OF SERVICE		
	Community Region	Rural Center and Rural Region
Schools	As determined appropriate by the school districts	As determined appropriate by the school districts

Policy 5.8.2.2 The affected school district shall be relied upon to review development applications to determine the ability of the district to serve the new development. The level of educational services shall not be reduced below acceptable levels as a consequence of new development to the extent permitted by State law.

The impact fee program proposed within the nexus study is consistent with General Plan Policies 5.1.1.2, 5.1.2.2, 5.8.2.2. The submitted nexus study has determined that the impact fee program is necessary in order to maintain minimum levels of service as most schools are operating close to maximum capacity. In conclusion, the proposed school impact nexus study is consistent with applicable policies of the 2004 General Plan.

- Attachment A: District's Request for Review
- Attachment B: School Facilities Needs Analysis for El Dorado Union High School District, February, 2009.
- Attachment C: Facility Master Plan, El Dorado Union High School District, November 2007.
- Attachment D: El Dorado Union High School District Map